



**UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
Patent and Trademark Office**

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS  
Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
08/581,347	12/29/95	CLEEVES	J 16820.F121

BRINKS HOFER GILSON & LIONE  
P.O. BOX 10395  
CHICAGO IL 60610-5599

HM32/1025

EXAMINER  
PORTNER, V

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
1645	

DATE MAILED: 10/25/00

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

**Commissioner of Patents and Trad marks**

File

# Interview Summary

Application No.

08/581,347

Applicant(s)

Cleeves

Examiner

Portner

Group Art Unit

1645



All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Portner

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(2) Mr. Paul E. Rauch (Registration Number 38,591)

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

Date of Interview Oct 17, 2000

Type: ☒ Telephonic ☐ Personal (copy is given to ☐ applicant ☐ applicant's representative).

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: ☐ Yes ☒ No. If yes, brief description:

Agreement ☐ was reached. ☒ was not reached.

Claim(s) discussed: all of record

Identification of prior art discussed:

Cathey, Jr (US Pat. 5,096,536)

Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

Pending claims and possible new claim limitations ~~revised~~ relative to Applied prior art were discussed. No agreement was achieved at this time. Size of the substrate surface relative to the claim limitation of "substantially uniform" was also discussed. Applicant's Representative will submit an Amendment for consideration and requested an interview to be conducted at a later date upon consideration of the Amendment submitted.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

1. ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.

Unless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a response to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW.

2. ☐ Since the Examiner's interview summary above (including any attachments) reflects a complete response to each of the objections, rejections and requirements that may be present in the last Office action, and since the claims are now allowable, this completed form is considered to fulfill the response requirements of the last Office action. Applicant is not relieved from providing a separate record of the interview unless box 1 above is also checked.

Examiner Note: You must sign and stamp this form unless it is an attachment to a signed Office action.

*[Signature]* 10/00

*[Signature]*  
LYNETTE R. F. SMITH  
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER  
TECHNOLOGY